THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI

WRIT PETITION No.12653 OF 1998

ORAL ORDER:

Petitioners herein, claim to have worked as cleaners in Bus Nos.AAC 8267 and API 8989, which were plying prior to nationalization of the bus routes on different routes in Adilabad district. After nationalization, though the petitioners were called for interview by the respondent-Corporation for absorption as cleaners, no orders were passed. In the circumstances, W.P.No.2676 of 1997 was filed, which was disposed of by order dated 14.2.1997, with a direction to the respondent therein, to examine their cases for absorption in the posts of cleaners or any other suitable post. In pursuance thereof, the petitioners were again interviewed on 31.3.1997. Thereafter, the respondent issued a show cause notice dated 17.2.1998, calling upon the petitioners to explain as to why their claim should not be rejected, alleging that they were not genuine workers. The petitioners submitted their reply and having considered the same, the respondent passed the impugned order dated 6.3.1998, rejecting their claim, treating them as non-genuine workers. The said order is under challenge in this writ petition.

I have heard the learned counsel for both the parties, and perused the material on record.

The material on record shows that the petitioners produced certificates dated 6.2.1997, issued by the Labour Officer, to show that they worked in the vehicles prior to nationalization. However, the selection committee opined that the certificates dated 6.2.1997 were issued only on the basis of mere statements of the employers, and that there was no material to establish their claim. Accordingly, the certificates dated 6.2.1997, produced by the petitioners, were disbelieved. Even before this Court the petitioners could not produce any material to show that they actually worked in the bus Nos.AAC 8267 and API 8989, as cleaners prior to nationalization of the bus

routes. In the circumstances, the finding recorded by the selection committee does not warrant any interference.

That apart, the learned standing counsel appearing for the Corporation submits that the displaced employees consequent upon nationalization of the bus routes, were absorbed in the Corporation, as per the scheme, which was in force till 1998. The learned standing counsel further submits that the selection committee constituted for the said purpose ceased to function and, as on today, there is no possibility to absorb any displaced employees in the services of the Corporation.

Viewed from any angle, I do not find any justifiable reason to issue writ of *mandamus* as prayed for. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

(G. ROHINI, J.)

19th July, 2006.
Kgr

THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI

WRIT PETITION No.12653 OF 1998

19th July, 2006.

Between:

Bhootham Srinivas and another. .. Petitioners.

And

The Regional Manager, A PSRTC.,
Adilabad, Adilabad district, A.P., .. Respondent.